FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 10/31/69

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Walters
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Bishop
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Soyars
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

REC-66

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (62-109060)

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (89-58) (RUC)

SUBJECT: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN
FITZGERALD KENNEDY
NOVEMBER 22, 1963
DALLAS, TEXAS
MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING

Enclosed for the Bureau are 5 copies (one copy for the information of WFO) of a LHM captioned PAUL LAWRENCE HOCH dealing with inquiries apparently being conducted by this individual into the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY.

The first source who furnished the information set forth in the enclosed LHM is [redacted] PCI, who furnished the data in question to SA HAROLD F. HOBLIT.

The second source mentioned in the enclosed LHM is [redacted] University of California Police Department, Berkeley, California, who furnished the reported information to SA HOBLIT.

The first source mentioned above [redacted] Berkeley, California, and HOCH [redacted] At the same time HOCH [redacted] These consisted principally of copies of letters HOCH has written to RALPH NADER and other

ENCLOSURE

2 - Bureau (RM) (Encls. 5)
1 - WFO (Encls. 1) (INFO) (RM)
2 - San Francisco
(1-137-5238A) (ABBY SHAW)
JPM/dp
(5)

REC-66 62-109060-6890

5 NOV 7 1969

62-109060

58 NOV 17 1969

REQ. RCVD
DATE RCVD. 11-6-69
HOW FORW. R/S 0-6
BY NG

REQ. RCVD
DATE FORW. 11-6-69
HOW FORW. R/S 0-6
BY TNG

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

individuals throughout the country concerning what he describes as his successful attempts to secure information regarding the assassination from Justice Department files utilizing the Freedom of Information Act.

It would appear from a review of the above mentioned correspondence that HOCH obtained the document set forth in the enclosed LHM from the National Archives which would make it a matter of public record. Accordingly San Francisco contemplates no additional action in connection with this matter.

The foregoing is for the information of the Bureau.